

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/830,554	05/04/2001	Hideki Sasaki	P107424-0002	7179	
	7590 08/09/2002				
RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER, PLLC 1233 20th Street, N.W.			EXAMINER		
Suite 501 Washington, DC 20036-5339			PIANALTO, BERNARD D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1762	9	
			DATE MAILED: 08/09/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		plication No	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		/830,554		SASAKI, HIDEKI				
		miner		Art Unit				
		nard D Piana		1762				
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appears	on the cove	r sheet with the co	orrespondence add	iress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMON - Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above is less than the set of the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for - Any reply received by the Office later than three maximum earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	IUNICATION. visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). s communication. hirty (30) days, a reply within num statutory period will app r reply will, by statute, cause onths after the mailing date of	In no event, how the statutory min y and will expire the application t	ever, may a reply be time nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to the come ARANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this cor	nmunication.			
Status	(-).							
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on <u>29 July 2</u>	<u>002</u> .						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This act	ion is non-fi	inal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected t	0.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a clai					nnlication)			
a) The translation of the foreign 15) Acknowledgment is made of a cla	language provision	al application	n has been recei	ved.	ррпоацоп).			
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144)	w (PTO-948) 9) Paper No(s)	5) 📙		PTO-413) Paper No(s). ent Application (PTO-1				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Su	mmary		Part of D	aper No. 9			

Application/Control Number: 09/830,554

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Mori et al.

This reference discloses in col. 2, lines 50-60, col. 10, lines 9-65, col. 12, lines 35-45 and claim 4 a method of making a magnetic recording device comprising providing a substrate, applying a non-magnetic layer to the support and drying. The magnetic layer is applied using a wire bar coating device. It is the examiner's opinion that applicants' process is anticipated by the reference process.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mori et al for the same reasons as urged in the above paragraph. It is the examiner's opinion that the limitations of these dependent claims are conventional and do not render these claims unobvious. See Morishita et al., col. 28, lines 10-15 and col. 29, lines 1-45 for

Application/Control Number: 09/830,554

Art Unit: 1762

wire bar coating thickness of .01 to 10 microns and application of a coating to a cured under-layer to prevent solvent damage to the under-layer.

Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mori et al in view of Morishita et al for the same reasons as urged above. It is the examiner's opinion that it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in this art at the time the invention was made to cure the dried under-layer of the primary reference as suggested by the secondary reference in order to prevent solvent damage to the under-layer at the time of application of a top layer. The limitations of the dependent claims are conventional and do not render these claims unobvious for the same reasons as urged in the above paragraph.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7-29-02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive in view of the new art rejections.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/830,554

Art Unit: 1762

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernard D Pianalto whose telephone number is 703 308 2332. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-6:00 Mon-Wed.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P Beck can be reached on 703 308 2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9310 for regular communications and 703 872 9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 306 5665.

9 August 7, 2002 Application/Control Number: 09/830,554

Art Unit: 1762

BERNARD PIANALTO PRIMARY EXAMINER